## Global Insurance CFO Survey – 2014

Survey results

September 2014



## Introduction

- Conducted during the first half of 2014, this survey of senior executives across 35 global insurers (13 non-life, 9 life, 9 multi-line, 4 specialty/reinsurance) seeks to understand the issues and challenges facing finance and actuarial organizations and the possible activities these carriers intend to implement to respond
  - Questions cover a variety of issues including key business drivers, finance and actuarial priorities and current and future operating models
  - Key benchmarks including headcount and expense metrics were collected to better understand the current state of the finance and actuarial functions and to provide survey participants with useful information to gauge their current situation
  - The survey also sought to understand trends involving shared services and outsourcing programs currently in use and planned in the next several years
- Survey participants included senior finance, accounting and actuarial executives in life, non-life and multi-line insurance companies across 10 leading insurance markets
  - Executives interviewed included, but were not limited to CFOs, chief accounting officers, and corporate controllers
  - Premium volume across all participants totalled more than \$330 billion with per carrier amounts ranging from just under \$2 billion to nearly \$50 billion
  - ▶ Carriers included 10 of the top 25 global insurers as ranked by A.M. Best Company
- We hope you find the results of this survey informative and thought provoking. EY welcomes the opportunity to discuss these findings in more detail and review potential approaches to improve the finance and actuarial function within your organization.

## **Executive summary**

Our new Global Insurance CFO Survey – 2014 highlights major issues and challenges for financial and actuarial functions. Data, technology and people are key focus areas for improvement through 2020

## Our major findings highlight:

- Among the most cited business drivers' facing the organization through 2020 growth was ranked first, followed by Managing Costs and Regulatory Changes
- Two-thirds of the respondents ranked data and technology issues among the top three challenges facing the finance and actuarial departments
- Preparing for 2020, the biggest shifts in maturity levels by operating model will be in data management and technology capabilities – from current to future state
- Onshore shared services are expected to be highly leveraged across transaction processing functions, with outsourcing selectively targeted at payroll and internal audit
- Decision support and controls are expected to account for a larger share of total finance and actuarial headcount in 2020

## While growth is currently the top business driver, regulation and cost pressures are expected to remain as top priorities for the foreseeable future

## **Primary business drivers**

Question 1: Please rank in order the following business drivers facing your organization through 2020

### Percent of respondents ranking the driver among the top three

Achieving growth, expanding into markets and/or expanding through M&A activity	6% 17% 43% 66%
Relieving pressure on costs and margin/improving profit	
Responding to regulatory change	11% 20% 20% 51%
Improving capital and liquidity position	17% <u>11%</u> 31%
Addressing competition from globalization and new market entrants	- 3% 9% 11% 11% 31%
Establishing risk mitigation and management Increasing simplification through organizational restructuring	20% 6% 29% - 3% 14% 17% -
Preparing for leadership change and succession Other	9% 9% 17%   3% ■ First   3% ■ Second   ■ Third

- Growth was the most cited driver with 43% of respondents ranking it as the topmost priority
- Managing costs continues to be a key driver for global insurance companies with more than half of respondents ranked this priority among their top three
- Regulatory change was the third most cited priority with 51% of respondents placing this in the top three
- Cost was a key concern for non-life carriers, while regulation topped the list of life companies
  - 35% of non-life carriers ranked cost as the number one driver whereas no life or multi-line carriers surveyed placed cost as the top driver
  - 44% of life and 33% of multi-line carriers cited regulation as the number one driver, while no non-life carriers surveyed placed regulation as the top driver
- Regulation was a top concern for insurers in the Americas and EMEIA, while cost was the top concerns for companies in Asia-Pacific
  - 88% of Asia-Pacific carriers ranked cost as a top three concern versus 56% of insurers based in the Americas and 27% in EMEIA
  - Regulatory change was a top concern for both Americas and EMEIA-based carriers with 63% and 64%, respectively, ranking it among the top three

# All survey respondents indicated investment in the finance and actuarial organization was required to address these drivers with a majority indicating activity was already underway

## **Primary business drivers**

Question 2: Are you anticipating the need to further invest and undertake improvements in your Finance and Actuarial organizations in order to support these drivers and priorities?

#### Investment activity, percent of respondents



- All respondents indicate investment is required to meet the demands of these business drivers
  - A large majority (60%) of respondents indicated that they have launched programs
  - The rest, while recognizing that investment is required, have not yet or have just begun the planning process
- Non-life insurers appear further ahead in terms of activity with 71% indicating their program was underway versus multi-line (56%) and life (44%)
- Insurers in EMEIA also appear further ahead with 73% having a program underway versus those in the Americas (56%) and Asia-Pacific (50%)
- ▶ No respondents said investment was not required

## Data and technology issues represent significant challenges inhibiting finance and actuarial departments from achieving their goal of being a better business partner

## Challenges facing financial and actuarial organizations

Question 3: Please rank in order the main challenges Finance and Actuarial will need to address in becoming better business partners and fully participating in the execution of the business strategy

### Percent of respondents ranking the challenge among their top three



- Two-thirds of respondents ranked data and technology issues among the top three challenges facing finance and actuarial organizations
  - Technology was the top most challenge cited by 27% of respondents
- ▶ 21% ranked data as the number one challenge
- More than half of respondents indicated the number and skills of people resources was a significant challenge
  - 12% of respondents rank it as the number one issue
  - ▶ Tied with data as the second most important issue
- Inconsistent processes were cited by more than 1/3rd of respondents as an important challenge
  - 39% of respondents indicate inconsistent processes were a top 3 challenge
- Organization and cost constraints do not appear to be a significant inhibitor for finance and actuarial departments
  - Only 12% of respondents put organization in their top 3 with 6% indicating it was the top challenge
  - Similarly, only 12% of respondents ranked cost of finance in the top three challenges

Across the industry, global finance departments are dealing with the need to balance improving their relationship with the business with the tactical demands of meeting new regulatory and reporting requirements

71%

## Primary finance and actuarial priorities

Question 4: Please rank in order the following finance and actuarial priorities facing your organization through 2020

### Percent of respondents ranking the driver among their top three



- Being a better business partner was the top most cited priority for finance and actuarial with 35% ranking this number one
- Dealing with new regulatory and reporting requirements ranked as the second most important priority
  - 35% of respondents ranked this as the most important priority
  - 73% of EMEIA respondents ranked this as their highest priority
- Improving reporting capabilities was the third most important priority
- 88% of Asia-Pacific respondents ranked this as a top three priority alongside being a better business partner

To address these priorities, the majority of respondents have implemented change programs or are in the planning stage while only a small number believe they have the right capabilities in place

## Primary finance and actuarial priorities

Question 5: Where are you in your Finance and Actuarial planning to address the top five priorities

### Change program activity, percent of respondents



- Plans in place and change program underway to deliver on priorities
- Priorities considered and planning underway to address
- Have considered priorities but no planning in place yet
- All ready 'fit for purpose' and limited improvements required Have not considered these priorities (not selected)

- 85% of respondents have programs underway or are planning change programs
  - ▶ 44% indicated that programs are currently underway
  - ▶ 41% indicated that planning is in progress
- Less than 10% recognize that investment is required, but have not yet begun the planning process
- Only 6% believe they have the right capabilities in place
- No respondents indicated that these priorities have not been considered
- Non-life companies are more likely to have a program underway (50%) while life companies are more likely to be in the planning stage (56%)
- 60% of Americas respondents noted that planning is already underway to address the priorities for Finance and Actuarial while 64% of EMEIA respondents already had a change program underway

## Data management and technology capabilities exhibit the largest gaps between the current state and future requirements for finance and actuarial organizations looking forward to 2020

Questions 6 and 7: For each capability below, please rate the current level of maturity within your finance and actuarial organizations and the level of maturity that will be required to meet the objectives of your finance and actuarial organizations through 2020.



- ▶ In 2014, data is considered the least developed capability on average, while technology shows the greatest range of current state capabilities
- Processes, data and technology are key focus areas for improvement through to 2020
- ▶ People capabilities are ranked highest in both current state and 2020 states
- ► Overall, respondents recognize that 'leading' practice is not necessarily the required target for 2020 - most respondents are targeting 'advanced'.

## Decision support functions will require the greatest shift from current to future state

Questions 8 and 9: For each finance process group, please rate the current and expected (2020) levels of maturity within your finance and actuarial organizations.



- All process groups require an upgrade in capability
- Transaction processing and decision support are felt to be the least advanced in current state
- Decision support capabilities are seen as the key focus for improvement

# Utilization of on-shore shared services are expected to be highly leveraged across transaction processing functions. Outsourcing will be selectively targeted towards payroll and internal audit.

Questions 10 and 11: Which of the following processes within your Finance and Actuarial organizations (are performed locally, shared service onshore, shared service off-shore or outsourced today (expected in 2020)?

ocation of finance and actuarial functions	Local		On-shore		Off-shore		Outsourced	
Percent of respondents*	2014	2020	2014	2020	2014	2020	2014	2020
Transaction processing								
Accounts payable and expense reimbursement	47%	31% 🖊	34%	50% 🕇	19%	16%	25%	25%
Actuarial reserving and valuation	81%	72%	31%	34%	9%	16%	6%	9%
Cost management	84%	69% 🦊	31%	47% 🕇	0%	6%	9%	16%
Fixed asset accounting	59%	38% 🦊	28%	44% 🕇	9%	13%	19%	19%
General accounting	75%	44% 🖊	41%	56% 🕇	13%	19%	22%	22%
Payroll	34%	22%	44%	50%	0%	3%	31%	41%
Revenue accounting	91%	63% 🖊	28%	41% 🕇	3%	13%🕇	13%	19%
Taxes	66%	50% 🖊	59%	69% 🕇	0%	6%	9%	13%
Treasury	69%	50% 🖊	47%	66% 🕇	3%	3%	3%	9%
Reporting								
Internal and external reporting	84%	59% 🦊	38%	50% 🕇	9%	19% 🕇	16%	19%
Communications	59%	50%	38%	44%	3%	3%	0%	3%
Decision support								
Planning, budgeting and forecasting	91%	78%	31%	38%	3%	6%	3%	9%
Performance analysis and decision support	91%	84%	28%	28%	3%	9%	3%	9%
Capital management	69%	63%	44%	47%	3%	9%	0%	3%
Controls								
Policy, procedures and controls	66%	59%	56%	63%	9%	9%	3%	3%
Internal audit	44%	34%	59%	69%	9%	13%	9%	19%
Finance function management								
Finance HR	47%	50%	56%	50%	3%	6%	0%	6%
Finance IT	41%	41%	56%	59%	6%	13%	25%	28%
							a	

- Transaction processing is expected to see the largest shifts to lower cost servicing options with on-shore shared services the more popular option
- Revenue accounting and internal and external reporting show the largest shift towards off-shore shared services by 2020
- Payroll and internal audit were the two areas with the largest expected shift to outsourcing

➡ ▲ Denotes a change of 10 percentage points or more in either direction

\*Numbers may total more than 100% as function may be housed/sourced across multiple areas

## Decision support and controls are expected to account for a larger share of total F&A headcount in 2020

## Finance and actuarial employees

Questions 14 & 15\*: Please provide the total number of current and expected 2020 full-time equivalents (FTEs) within the finance and actuarial functions and, where known, the number of FTEs by process group.

#### Percent of total finance and actuarial FTEs by functional area



Average of survey participants

\*Note: Questions 12 and 13 are demographic in nature and were used in the calculation of selected metric and/or to segment results

## Reduced staff to manager ratios across most functions are expected through 2020

## Finance and actuarial employees

Questions 14 and 15: Please provide the total number of current (expected 2020) full-time equivalents (FTEs) within the finance and actuarial functions and, where known, the number of FTEs by process group.

### Ratio of staff to manager



Average of survey respondents

## Transaction processing and decision support account for the largest share of finance and actuarial (F&A) expenses

## **Cost of finance**

Question 16: What was the amount of General and Administrative expenses across the enterprise for 2012 (USD)?

Finance and actuarial as a percent of general and administrative expenses

Percent



Finance and actuarial as a percent of total premium

Percent



\*Cost is defined as personnel cost, systems, outsourcing, overhead, and other expenses Source: EY Research, 2014

Page 13

Question 17: Please provide the total cost of finance and actuarial and, where known the cost by process group.

Cost of finance and actuarial by function\* Percent of total



Insurer size is not an indicator of the level of finance and actuarial spend in relation to total administrative expenses. Non-life insurers typically have lower cost finance and actuarial functions compared to life, specialty and reinsurance carriers.

### **Cost of finance**

**Cost of Finance as a Percent of Total G&A** *By Insurer Size* 



\*Total premium includes life annual premium equivalent plus non-life net earned premium

\*Note: Questions 18, 19 and 20 are demographic in nature and were used in the calculation of selected metric and/or to segment results

## The time to complete given tasks within a reporting cycle varies, with management reporting taking the longest within the monthly reporting cycle

## Finance and actuarial cycle times

Question: 21 Please provide the number of working days to complete the following activities monthly, quarterly and at year end

### Number of days to complete activity

Average number of working days



- On average, the number of days to complete a given finance activity is correlated to the timing of the reporting cycle (monthly, quarterly, annually)
  - Monthly activities were completed in 18 days on average
  - Quarterly activities took 32 days to complete
  - Year end activities totaled more than 45 days
- Management reporting consumes the most time among monthly activities

Transformation programs are more likely for finance operations than actuarial with 71% planning or completing an project in the next three years. Nearly 1/3rd of respondents do not expect to transform the actuarial function.

## Finance and actuarial transformation programs

Questions 22 and 23: Has your company undergone a transformation of its Finance and Actuarial functions?

### Percent of respondents

Percent



# All respondents indicated some level of integration between the finance and actuarial functions with 81% indicating full integration completed or expected by 2020

## Integration of finance and actuarial functions Question 24 How aligned are Finance and Actuarial in the planning, budgeting and forecasting areas? Percent of respondents Percent Partial Integration with No Plans for Full Completely Integrated 19% 19% 19% Full Integration Nearly Complete 42% Partial Integration with Plans for Full by 2020

- The majority of respondents have integrated or nearly integrated their finance and actuarial functions for planning, budgeting and forecasting purposes
  - 38% of respondents have completely or nearly completely integrated their finance and actuarial functions
  - Nearly half (42%) of respondents indicated that they expect full integration by 2020
- Less than 20% do not expect to fully integrate their finance and actuarial functions
- No respondents indicated that these functions are not at all integrated
- 83% of Asia-Pacific respondents noted that they had no plans to further integrate finance and actuarial by 2020



## **Study participant demographics**

Question 12: What was your organization's level of premiums that were written in 2012? Please provide in USD.; Total Life Premium Volume (Annual Premium Equivalent) and Total non-life Premium (Net Earned Premium)

#### Percent of respondents by 2012 total premium size



Question 18: How many geographical locations does Finance and Actuarial operate in across your organization?

#### Percent of respondents by number of F&A locations



Size Percent of respondents by number of employees

worldwide, across all functions?



Question 13: How many employees are employed by your company

Question 19: How many legal entities in your company are supported by your Finance organization?

#### Percent of respondents by number of entities supported by F&A



## Study participant demographics





Q6 – For each capability below, please rate the current level of maturity within your Finance and Actuarial organizations. Q7 – For each capability below, please indicate the level of maturity that will be required to meet the objectives of your Finance and Actuarial organizations through 2020.

	Basic	Developing	blished Advanced Leading
Operation Strategy	place; Small number measurement align measures resulting parts of the organiz	ved off shore, no globalization strategy in er of roles w/ individual goals, performance ed to process performance objectives, in matching goals and priorities in some ation; Limited number of staff w/ adequate try, marketplace, business environment	Formulated, implementing strategy to globalize service delivery model to realize labor arbitrage opportunities; large number of roles w/ individual goals, performance measurement aligned to process performance objectives, measures; Most staff has adequate knowledge of industry, marketplace, business environment
Policy	complied with, polic	BU level ensuring that division policies are ies are regularly reviewed and updated ponsibility for execution of policies is clear	Policies are defined centrally and are mandated in all divisions, regularly reviewed and updated with consultation, responsibility for policy execution is clear across divisions and is formally documented
Organization	services. Business	isional with divisional / regional shared area teams primary focus is production of adding commentaries and insight	Organized on Regional basis driven by a global strategy or approach and some global functions, shared services and global services
Governance	representation esta areas; High-level de some accountability	steering committees w/ cross-functional blished for main finance service delivery efinitions of roles & responsibilities in place, / gaps; Global process ownership concept ise level, impacting some finance processes	Use of multiple governance methods to gain cross- functional alignment; Clear definitions of roles and responsibilities, accountability for performance; Global process ownership successfully implemented in some areas of the business, crossing functional boundaries, impacting the majority of finance processes
Processes	and regional shared	ized and managed formally within divisions d service centers, divisional process owners usiness unit level processes	Identified and managed formally across divisions and regional / global shared service centers, process owners exist globally covering all divisions and BU's

Legend: Current state \_\_\_\_\_ 2020 target

Q6 – For each capability below, please rate the current level of maturity within your Finance and Actuarial organizations. Q7 – For each capability below, please indicate the level of maturity that will be required to meet the objectives of your Finance and Actuarial organizations through 2020.

	Basic	Developing	Established	Advanced	↓ Leading
Data	management, rec there is substantia	stently across BU's ,formal framework for quirements are designed on a divisional basis, al consistency of data definition across es, substantial progress has been made in sources of data			divisional level as part of an most complete consistency of rocesses, mainly single sources of
People	development of s staff consult regu	ng divisional Finance communities, taff is coordinated informally across divisions, larly with customers to review service levels s for improvement		level, career paths are in place	pased on networking at divisional e to allow role development across elivering and improving excellent
Technology	BU's due to local accounting and r	tegy designed in response to a divisional regy, some consistency in platforms across networking and IT influence, end-to-end eporting processes for each division have a tomation with limited manual intervention		organization strategy, one pla each division. end-to-end acc	ed in response to global Finance tform for financial ledgers across ounting and reporting processes for utomation with limited manual
Performance Management	overall function le management info	neasured and managed at a process and evel by local management, with regular prmation available for central review. Targets sis of improvement initiatives		in standardized management	oss BU's by divisional/ SSC and performance measures are used reporting. Targets are set and used as sions and improvement initiatives

Legend: Current state \_\_\_\_\_ 2020 target

Q8 – For each finance process group below, please rate the current level of maturity within your Finance and Actuarial organizations. Q9 – For each finance process group below, please indicate the level of maturity that will be required to meet the objectives of your Finance and Actuarial organizations through 2020.

	Basic	Developing	Established	$\downarrow$	Advanced	Ļ	Leading
Transaction processing	electronically; top-side JEs requir account analysis is common; electronically not integrated with system available,; processes regionally of	anual intervention; SL data transferred to G ed; manual &automated processes exist; tronic document storage exists not user n; KPIs defined, established & reporting entralized not standardized; common COA eipt and distribution of electronic document	L.	close calenda workflow app governance ii web-enable ir reported to m	provals exists; autom n place; sub-ledger o	ons standardize ated reconciliat lata electronical data capture; fe ctively utilized; i	d, centralized by region; ions; Standard COA, ly transferred to GL, w JEs needed; KPI are
Reporting	limited set of report types; Adequ uneven business acumen within	utomated; Cash Flow manual: established ate and consistent finance technical skills; inance; Single master data system within p interface; consolidation done primarily in		consistent tee rotation prog	rams and leadership	siness understa training is avail	nding within finance;
Decision support	across org; Execs responsible ta set realistic budgets; Most leader implemented, off-line data analys	Is the business; consistent timeframes get setting, achievement;, and motivated t s understand the process; Tech platform is is, use of spreadsheets, and ineffective us happed; moderate confidence in the data;	6	business; inc process; con integration of	entive comp motivat fidence among leade f key metrics in BF, d	es behaviors; le rs; tech implem lecision making	
Controls	IA's coordination w/ other risk fur basis, annually, includes subject teams; deployed globally, cost ef presence; co-sourcing showing e	is, procedures documented, communicated ctions recent; plan developed on dynamic matter specialists; on major change initiati ective structure, leverage local knowledge ffectiveness; data analytics not used ully integrated, for planning, repository, not	ve ,	audit plan cre planned/fored teams; rotatic establishes d w/other risk a	eated in dynamic, flex casted, auditee input, onal program; levera	kible format, yea key member of ge off-shore/low tate real-time at	f change initiative v cost areas for talent; uditing; tech integrated
Finance function management	initiatives;:IT org has formal plan, key business drivers and corpora addresses overall IT governance	v/ BUs, input on strategic people-related approach to ensure alignment of IT with th te strategy, effective IT leadership, issues; IT org has formal service delivery s, problems and risks of delivering IT applications	e	initiatives; pr org fairly adv aligns w/key i addresses IT sophisticated	ovides process impro anced, sophisticated business drivers, cor	ovement, contro experience, exp p. strategy; effe Fairly advanced te dealing w/ iss	ective leadership, service delivery model,

Source: EY Research, 2014

Legend: Current state

2020 target

#### EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

#### About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

Ernst & Young LLP is a client-serving member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited operating in the US.

© 2014 Ernst & Young LLP. All Rights Reserved.

1409-1314868 NY ED None

This material has been prepared for general informational purposes only and is not intended to be relied upon as accounting, tax or other professional advice. Please refer to your advisors for specific advice.

ey.com